S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5137/2004.
(SOHAN LAL MALAWAT VS. STATE & ORS.)

DATE OF ORDER : 19.01.2007.

HON'BLE MR. GOVIND MATHUR, J.

Mr. C.S. Kotwani for the petitioner/s.
Mr. Shyam Ladrecha, AGA, for the respondent/s.

By this petition for writ, a direction is sought by the petitioner for respondents to grant him selection grades in accordance with the notification dated 25.01.92 issued by the Government of Rajasthan.

It is contended by the petitioner that he entered in the services of the respondents being appointed as Agriculture Extension Officer on 05.10.63 in pursuant to an order dated 25.09.63 in the pay scale of Rs.155-485. Fixation of his pay was made in the pay scale of Rs.2000-3200 under an order dated 25.10.90 as a consequent to revision of pay scales under Rajasthan Civil Services (Revised Pay Scales) Rules, 1989. As no promotion was accorded to him, he filed a writ petition before this Court (SBCW Petition No.3037/2001) and that came to be decided on 23.08.2001 with a direction to the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioner for the purpose of promotion in accordance with letter dated 17.09.99 issued by

the Government of Rajasthan within a period of three months from the date of submitting a certified copy of the order along with copy of the writ petition. In pursuant to the directions given by this Court, the petitioner stood promoted as an Agriculture Officer under an order dated 10.05.02 against the vacancies of the year 1993-94.

The grievance of the petitioner is that though one promotion is given to him in his entire service career, the respondents have not released the selection grades to him in accordance with the notification of the Government of Rajasthan dated 25.01.93.

In reply to the writ petition, it is stated by respondents in para 6 that they have already given selection grades to the petitioner vide order dated 13.04.2005 on completion of 9 and 18 years of service, however, the last selection grade i.e. on completion of 27 years of service could not be granted as the petitioner already stood promoted as Agriculture Officer against the vacancies of the year 1993=94.

In view of the statement given by the respondents in para 6 of their reply, the cause sought to be agitated by this petition for writ does not survive.

Accordingly, this petition for writ is dismissed as becoming infructuous.

(GOVIND MATHUR)J.

Anil/